

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/650,984		08/30/2000	Martin Joseph Kaplan	1156a	1156a 1263	
28004	7590	11/19/2004		EXAMINER		
SPRINT 6391 SPRINT	ΓΡΑΡΚΌ	VAY	WONG, BLANCHE			
KSOPHT010		****	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
OVERLAND	PARK,	KS 66251-2100	2667			

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/650,984	KAPLAN ET AL.					
7.120.00.77.100.0.1	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Blanche Wong	2667					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s				
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second content of the co	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropria unt of the fee. The appropri	e MPEP ate extension liate extension				
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mail						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			,				
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simpli	ifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	:				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed am	endment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT p	lace the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were ne	ewly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	- Charler	figur				
10. ☐ Other:		CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT I TECHNOLOGY CENTE	N EXAMINER				
·							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The "analog phone line" added to claims 1 and 14, raises new issues.